

ANALELE UNIVERSITATII DIN ORADEA FASCICOLA MATEMATICA, TOM VI, 1997-1998

INTRODUCTORY TOPICS IN BINARY SET FUNCTIONS

Serban E. Vlad

str. Zimbrului, nr.3, bl.PB68, et.2, ap.11, 3700, Oradea, Romania

serbanvlad@excite.com

Abstract Let $X \neq \emptyset$ an arbitrary set and $U \subset 2^X$ a non-empty set of subsets. The function $\mu : U \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ is called binary set function. If μ is countably additive, then it is called a measure. The paper gives some definitions and properties of these functions, its purpose being that of suggesting the reconstruction of the measure theory within this frame, by analogy with [1], [2].

AMS Classification: 28A60, 28A25.

Keywords: additive and countably additive binary set functions, derivable binary measures, the Lebesgue-Stieltjes binary measure, the integration of a binary function relative to a binary measure.

1. Set Rings and Function Rings

1.1 We note with $\mathbf{B}_2$ the set $\{0,1\}$, called the *binary Boole* (or *Boolean*) algebra, together with the discrete topology, the order $0 \leq 1$ and the laws: the logical complement ' $\bar{}$ ', the reunion ' $\cup$ ', the product ' $\cdot$ ', the modulo 2 sum ' $\oplus$ ', the coincidence ' $\otimes$ ':

$\bar{}$	$\cup$	$\cdot$	$\oplus$	$\otimes$
$\begin{array}{c cc} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cc} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cc} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cc} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cc} & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$
a)	b)	c)	d)	e)

table (1)

1.2 Let $X \neq \emptyset$ be an arbitrary set, that we shall call the *total set*. In the set 2^X of the subsets of X , the order is given by the inclusion and the laws are: the complementary relative to X : ' $\bar{}$ ', the reunion ' $\vee$ ', the difference ' $-$ ', the intersection ' $\wedge$ ', the symmetrical difference ' Δ ' and the coincidence ' Θ ' that is defined like this:

$$A \Theta B = \overline{A \Delta B} \quad (1)$$

1.3 **Theorem** Let $U \subset 2^X$ a set of subsets of X . The next statements are equivalent:

- a) $A, B \in U \Rightarrow A \vee B, A - B \in U$
- b) $A, B \in U \Rightarrow A \Delta B, A \wedge B \in U$

and the next statements are equivalent too:

- c) $A, B \in U \Rightarrow A \wedge B, \overline{B - A} \in U$
- d) $A, B \in U \Rightarrow A \Theta B, A \vee B \in U$

1.4 **Remark** In the previous theorem, the conditions a), c); b), d) are dual.

1.5 a) The set U that fulfills one of 1.3 a), b) is called *set ring*, or *ring of subsets of X* (on X). N. Bourbaki calls such a set *clan*.

b) Similarly, if U fulfills one of 1.3 c), d), it is called *set ring*, or *ring of subsets of X* (on X), the dual structure of the structure from a).

1.6 **Remark** a) $(U, \Delta, \wedge)$ is really a non-unitary, commutative ring. Its neuter element is $\emptyset$.

b) $(U, \Theta, \vee)$ is itself a non-unitary, commutative ring. Its neuter element is X .

1.7 a) If X belongs to the ring $(U, \Delta, \wedge)$, then $(U, \Delta, \wedge)$ is called a *set algebra*.

b) If $\emptyset$ belongs to the ring $(U, \Theta, \vee)$, then $(U, \Theta, \vee)$ is called a *set algebra* too.

1.8 **Remark** a) The condition that $(U, \Delta, \wedge)$ is a set algebra ($(U, \Theta, \vee)$ is a set algebra) implies the one that U is a unitary set ring, because if $X \in U$ (if $\emptyset \in U$), then it is the unit of the ring.

b) Generally speaking, the unit, if it exists, is given by $\bigvee_{A \in U} A$ (by $\bigwedge_{A \in U} A$).

1.9 **Remark** The set algebras are not what is usually meant by the F -algebra structures, where F is a field.

1.10 Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ a function. Its *support* is by definition the set:

$$\text{supp } f = \{x \mid x \in X, f(x) = 1\} \quad (1)$$

1.11 If

$$\text{supp } f = A \quad (1)$$

f will be noted sometimes with χ_A . This function is called the *characteristic function* of the set $A \subset X$.

1.12 Let us define for the set ring $(U, \Delta, \wedge)$, respectively for the set ring $(U, \Theta, \vee)$, the set

$$U' = \{f \mid f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2, \text{supp } f \in U\} \quad (1)$$

1.13 $(U', \oplus, \cdot, \cdot)$ and $(U', \otimes, \cup, \cup)$ are $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebras, where ' $\cdot$ ' is the symbol of two laws: the product of the functions and the product of the functions with scalars (both induced from $\mathbf{B}_2$), while ' $\cup$ ' is the dual of ' $\cdot$ '.

1.14 The associations

$$U \ni A \leftrightarrow \chi_A \in U'$$

are ring isomorphisms. They allow us many times to identify the set rings $U \subset 2^X$ and the function rings $U' \subset \mathbf{B}_2^X$.

2. Additive and Countably Additive Set Functions

2.1 **Theorem** Let $U \subset 2^X$ a non-empty family of subsets of X and $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ a function.

a) If $(U, \Delta, \wedge)$ is a set ring, then the next statements are equivalent:

$$\text{a.1)} \quad \forall A, B \in U, A \wedge B = \emptyset \Rightarrow \mu(A \vee B) = \mu(A) \oplus \mu(B) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{a.2)} \quad \forall A, B \in U, \mu(A \Delta B) = \mu(A) \oplus \mu(B) \quad (2)$$

b) If $(U, \Theta, \vee)$ is a set ring, then the next statements are equivalent:

$$\text{b.1)} \quad \forall A, B \in U, A \vee B = X \Rightarrow \mu(A \wedge B) = \mu(A) \otimes \mu(B) \quad (3)$$

$$\text{b.2)} \quad \forall A, B \in U, \mu(A \Theta B) = \mu(A) \otimes \mu(B) \quad (4)$$

2.2 a) Let $(U, \Delta, \wedge)$ be a set ring. A function $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ that fulfills one of the equivalent conditions 2.1 a.1), a.2) is called *additive*, or *finitely additive*.

b) In a dual manner, let $(U, \Theta, \vee)$ be a set ring. A function $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ that fulfills one of the equivalent conditions 2.1 b.1), b.2) is called *additive**, or *finitely additive**.

2.3 The sets of functions $U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ which are additive, respectively additive* are noted with $Ad(U)$, respectively $Ad^*(U)$. They are naturally organized as $\mathbf{B}_2$ -linear spaces.

2.4 **Theorem** a) Let $\mu \in Ad(U)$. For $A, B \in U$, we have:

$$\text{a.1)} \quad \mu(\emptyset) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{a.2)} \quad \mu(A - B) = \mu(A) \oplus \mu(A \wedge B) \quad (2)$$

$$\text{a.3)} \quad \mu(A \vee B) \oplus \mu(A \wedge B) \oplus \mu(A \Delta B) = 0 \quad (3)$$

b) If $\mu \in Ad^*(U)$, then the next properties are true:

$$\text{b.1)} \quad \mu(X) = 1 \quad (4)$$

$$\text{b.2)} \quad \mu(\overline{B - A}) = \mu(A) \otimes \mu(A \vee B) \quad (5)$$

$$\text{b.3)} \quad \mu(A \wedge B) \otimes \mu(A \vee B) \otimes \mu(A \Theta B) = 1 \quad (6)$$

where $A, B \in U$.

2.5 Let $a : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$,

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{a_n} = a(n), n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (1)$$

a binary sequence. If the support of $a : \{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, a_n = 1\}$ is a finite set, then the summation modulo 2 has sense:

$$\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} a_n = \begin{cases} 1, & |\text{supp } a| \text{ is odd} \\ 0, & |\text{supp } a| \text{ is even} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where we have noted with $|\cdot|$ the number of elements of a finite set and where, by definition:

$$|\emptyset| = 0 \quad (3)$$

is even. If the support of a is not finite, then the symbol $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} a_n$ refers to a divergent series.

2.6 Let $A : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$,

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{A_n} = A(n), n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (1)$$

a sequence of sets. If for any $x \in X$ the set $\{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, x \in A_n\}$ is finite, then the symmetrical difference has sense:

$$\Delta_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n = \{x \mid x \in X, |\{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, x \in A_n\}| \text{ is odd}\} \quad (2)$$

and if not, the symbol $\Delta_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n$ refers to a divergent series of sets.

2.7 Theorem Let $(U, \Delta, \wedge) \subset 2^X$ be a set ring and $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ a function. The following statements are equivalent:

a) For any sequence of sets $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$, the conditions

a.1) $n \neq m \Rightarrow A_n \wedge A_m = \emptyset$

and

a.2) $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in U$

imply

a.3) $\{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, \mu(A_n) = 1\}$ is finite

and

a.4) $\mu(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A_n) \quad (1)$

b) For any sequence of sets $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$, the conditions

b.1) $\forall x \in X, \{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, x \in A_n\}$ is finite

and

b.2) $\Delta_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in U$

imply

b.3) $\{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, \mu(A_n) = 1\}$ is finite

and

b.4) $\mu(\Delta_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A_n) \quad (2)$

Proof a) $\Rightarrow$ b) Let $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$ so that b.1), b.2) are true under the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \in X, \{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, x \in A_n\} &\in \{0, 1\} \\ \Delta_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n &= \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in U \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

a.1), a.2) being fulfilled, a.3), a.4) are also fulfilled, thus b.3), b.4) are fulfilled.

b) $\Rightarrow$ a) If $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$ satisfies a.1), a.2), then b.1), b.2) are true, thus b.3), b.4) are true resulting that a.3), a.4) are fulfilled.

2.8 a) A function $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ that satisfies one of the equivalent conditions 2.7 a), b) is called *countably additive*, or *measure*.

b) We take in consideration the duals of 2.5, 2.6, 2.7. A function $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ that fulfills one of the duals of the previous equivalent conditions is called *countably additive** or *measure**.

2.9 The sets of countably additive, respectively countably additive* $U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ functions are noted with $Ad_c(U)$, respectively with $Ad_c^*(U)$.

These sets are $\mathbf{B}_2$ -linear spaces.

2.10 The inclusions $Ad_c(U) \subset Ad(U)$, $Ad_c^*(U) \subset Ad^*(U)$ are easily shown.

2.11 The terminology of additive function, countably additive function and measure is the same if the domain of the function is a $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra U included in $\mathbf{B}_2^X$, via the identification from 1.14.

3. Examples

3.1 Let $X \neq \emptyset$ and $U \subset 2^X$ a set ring. The null function $0 : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ is a measure; it is the null element of the linear space $Ad_c(U)$.

3.2 Suppose that $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ is a measure and $A \in U$. The function $\mu_1 : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ that is defined by:

$$\mu_1(B) = \mu(A \wedge B), B \in U \quad (1)$$

is a measure, called the *restriction of μ at A* .

Proof Let $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$ be disjoint two by two with $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in U$, resulting that the sets

$A \wedge A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$ are disjoint two by two with

$$\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (A \wedge A_n) = A \wedge \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in U \quad (2)$$

Because μ is a measure, the set $\{n \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, \mu(A \wedge A_n) = 1\}$ is finite and it is true:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1\left(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n\right) &= \mu\left(A \wedge \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n\right) = \mu\left(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (A \wedge A_n)\right) = \\ &= \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A \wedge A_n) = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu_1(A_n) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

3.3 We fix $x_0 \in X$. The function $\chi^{\{x_0\}} : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ defined by:

$$\chi^{\{x_0\}}(A) = \chi_A(x_0), A \in U \quad (1)$$

is a measure. More general, the sum of these functions is a measure too and this means that to each finite set $H \subset X$ it is associated a function $\chi^H : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ defined in the following way:

$$\chi^H(A) = \bigvee_{x \in H} \chi_A(x), A \in U \quad (2)$$

When H is the empty set, we find the example 3.1.

3.4 $(S_2, \oplus, \bullet, \cdot)$ is the $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra of the binary sequences $x_n \in \mathbf{B}_2, n \in \mathbf{N}$, where the sum of the sequences ' $\oplus$ ', the product of the sequences ' $\bullet$ ' and the product of the sequences with scalars ' $\cdot$ ' is made coordinatewise. We mention here that the families of sequences

$(x_n^p)_n \in S_2, p \in \mathbf{N}$ that are disjoint two by two are these that satisfy:

$$p \neq p' \Rightarrow \forall n, x_n^p \cdot x_n^{p'} = 0 \quad (1)$$

Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and we define $\mu_k : S_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ by:

$$\mu_k((x_n)) = x_k, (x_n) \in S_2 \quad (2)$$

- the projection of the vector (x_n) of S_2 on the k -th coordinate. More general, if $H \subset N$ is a finite set

$$H = \{k_1, \dots, k_p\} \quad (3)$$

then we have the sum of functions $\mu_H : S_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$,

$$\mu_H = \mu_{k_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mu_{k_p} \quad (4)$$

μ_k and μ_H are countably additive; if H is empty, then μ_H is by definition the null function.

3.5 a) We say that the sequence $x_n \in \mathbf{B}_2, n \in N$ converges to $x^0 \in \mathbf{B}_2$ if

$$\exists N \in N, \forall n \geq N, x_n = x^0 \quad (1)$$

If so, the unique x^0 with this property (because x is a function) is called the *limit of* (x_n) . If the previous statement is made under the weaker form: the sequence (x_n) is *convergent*, this means that such an x^0 like at (1) (uniquely) exists. The limit of the sequence (x_n) has the usual notation $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

b) $(S_2^0, \oplus, \bullet, \cdot)$ is the $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra of the binary sequences $x_n \in \mathbf{B}_2, n \in N$ that converge to 0. We define the measure $\mu : S_2^0 \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ by

$$\mu((x_n)) = \bigoplus_{n \in N} x_n, (x_n) \in S_2^0 \quad (1)$$

3.6 $(S_2^c, \oplus, \bullet, \cdot)$ is the $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra of the convergent binary sequences $x_n \in \mathbf{B}_2, n \in N$ and we define $\mu : S_2^c \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ by:

$$\mu((x_n)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n, (x_n) \in S_2^c \quad (1)$$

μ is additive, but it is not countably additive. In order to see this, we give the example of the sequence of convergent sequences (the canonical base of S_2^c):

$$\varepsilon^n : N \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2, \varepsilon^n(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = m \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}, m, n \in N \quad (2)$$

$(\varepsilon^n)_n$ are disjoint two by two, their reunion is the constant 1 sequence that is convergent and on the other hand

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{n \in N} \varepsilon^n\right) = 1 \neq 0 = \bigoplus_{n \in N} \mu(\varepsilon^n) \quad (3)$$

3.7 A variant of 3.4 is obtained if we take instead of $S_2 = \mathbf{B}_2^N$ an arbitrary function $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra $U \subset \mathbf{B}_2^X$. Let $x_0 \in X$; the function $\mu_{x_0} : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ defined like this:

$$\mu_{x_0}(f) = f(x_0), f \in U \quad (1)$$

is a measure. More general, if $H \subset X$ is a finite set, then the function $\mu_H : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ defined in the following manner:

$$\mu_H(f) = \sum_{x \in H} f(x), f \in U \quad (2)$$

is a measure. If H is empty, then by definition μ_H is the null function.

We mention the fact that $f^p \in U$, $p \in \mathbf{N}$ are disjoint two by two if

$$p \neq p' \Rightarrow \forall x \in X, f^p(x) \cdot f^{p'}(x) = 0 \quad (3)$$

3.8 We note with $R_f(X)$ the ring - relative to $\Delta, \wedge$ - of the finite subsets of X . The function $\mu_f^X : R_f(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$,

$$\mu_f^X(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & |A| \text{ is odd} \\ 0, & |A| \text{ is even} \end{cases}, A \in R_f(X) \quad (1)$$

is a measure, called the *finite Boolean measure*.

3.9 We note with Inf_f the ring of the *inferiorly finite sets* $A \subset \mathbf{R}$, i.e. the sets with the following property:

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbf{R}, (-\infty, \alpha) \cap A \text{ is finite}$$

We fix some $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ and we define $\mu_\alpha : Inf_f \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ by:

$$\mu_\alpha(A) = \mu_f((-\infty, \alpha) \cap A), A \in Inf_f \quad (1)$$

μ_α is countably additive: for any family $A_n \in Inf_f$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ of two by two disjoint sets so that $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in Inf_f$, only a finite number of sets A_n fulfill $(-\infty, \alpha) \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$ etc.

3.10 a) Let $X \subset \mathbf{R}$ and $t \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$ a point so that

$$\forall t' < t, (t', t) \cap X \text{ is infinite}$$

b) We say that the function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ has a *left limit in t* , noted with $f(t-0) \in \mathbf{B}_2$, if the next property is true:

$$\exists t' < t, \forall \xi \in (t', t) \cap X, f(\xi) = f(t-0) \quad (1)$$

c) We note with $Lim_X^-(t)$ the $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra of the $X \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ functions that have a left limit in t .

d) The function $\mu : Lim_X^-(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$;

$$\mu(f) = f(t-0), f \in Lim_X^-(t) \quad (1)$$

is a measure, this example being analogue to 3.7.

e) Other examples of measures of the same type with this one may be given.

3.11 a) For $a, b \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$, the *symmetrical interval* $[[a, b))$ is defined by:

$$[[a, b)) = \begin{cases} [a, b), & a < b \\ [b, a), & b < a \\ \emptyset, & b = a \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

b) We note with Sym^- the set ring - relative to $\Delta, \wedge$ - generated by the symmetrical intervals $[[a, b))$.

c) We define $\mu : Sym^- \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ by:

$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sup A = \infty \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $A \in \text{Sym}^-$. Because in a sequence of sets $A_n \in \text{Sym}^-$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$ that are disjoint two by two with $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in \text{Sym}^-$ at most one satisfies the condition $\sup A_n = \infty$, it may be shown that μ is a measure.

3.12 a) We define the next $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebras of functions $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$:

$$I_{[[a,b))} = \{f \mid [[a,b)) \wedge \text{supp } f \text{ is finite}\}, a, b \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\} \quad (1)$$

$$I_\infty = \{f \mid \text{supp } f \text{ is finite}\} \quad (2)$$

and the integrals

$$\int_a^b f = \bigoplus_{t \in [[a,b))} f(t), f \in I_{[[a,b))} \quad (3)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbf{R}} f(t), f \in I_\infty \quad (4)$$

b) The next $I_{[[a,b))} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2, I_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ functions:

$$\mu(f) = \int_a^b f, f \in I_{[[a,b))} \quad (5)$$

$$\mu(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f, f \in I_\infty \quad (6)$$

are measures.

3.13 a) The set $\mathbf{S} \subset 2^{\mathbf{R}}$ defined in the next way:

$$\mathbf{S} = \{(a_1, b_1) \Delta \dots \Delta (a_p, b_p) \Delta \{c_1, \dots, c_n\} \mid a_1, b_1, \dots, a_p, b_p, c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbf{R}, p, n \in \mathbf{N}\} \quad (1)$$

is a ring of subsets of $\mathbf{R}$ and we have supposed that

$$p = 0 \Rightarrow (a_1, b_1) \Delta \dots \Delta (a_p, b_p) = \emptyset \quad (2)$$

$$n = 0 \Rightarrow \{c_1, \dots, c_n\} = \emptyset \quad (3)$$

b) The function $\mu : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ given by:

$$\mu\{(a_1, b_1) \Delta \dots \Delta (a_p, b_p) \Delta \{c_1, \dots, c_n\}\} = \pi(p + n) \quad (4)$$

where $\pi : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ is the *parity function*:

$$\pi(m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ 0, & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}, m \in \mathbf{N} \quad (5)$$

- is additive, but it is not countably additive. In order to see this fact, we take the sequence

$$[\frac{1}{n+2}, \frac{1}{n+1}) = (\frac{1}{n+2}, \frac{1}{n+1}) \Delta \{\frac{1}{n+2}\} \in \mathbf{S}, n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (6)$$

of sets that are disjoint two by two, satisfying

$$\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} [\frac{1}{n+2}, \frac{1}{n+1}) = (0, 1) \in \mathbf{S} \quad (7)$$

$$\{n \mid \mu([\frac{1}{n+2}, \frac{1}{n+1}]) = 1\} = \emptyset \quad (8)$$

$$\mu((0,1)) = 1 \neq 0 = \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu([\frac{1}{n+2}, \frac{1}{n+1}]) \quad (9)$$

3.14 a) We note with

$$R_f^*(X) = \{H \mid H \subset X, \overline{H} \text{ is finite}\} \quad (1)$$

This set is a set ring relative to $\Theta, \vee$ and it is the dual structure of $R_f(X)$.

b) A typical example of measure* is given by the function $\mu_f^{*X} : R_f^*(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ that is defined in the next manner:

$$\mu_f^{*X}(H) = \begin{cases} 0, & |\overline{H}| \text{ is odd} \\ 1, & |\overline{H}| \text{ is even} \end{cases} = \overline{\mu_f^X(\overline{H})}, H \in R_f^*(X) \quad (2)$$

(In the equations (1), (2) the superior bar notes two things: the complementary of a set and the logical complement.)

Let the sequence of sets $A_n \in R_f^*(X), n \in \mathbf{N}$ that are disjoint* two by two:

$$n, m \in \mathbf{N}, n \neq m \Rightarrow A_n \vee A_m = X \text{ (i.e. } \overline{A_n} \wedge \overline{A_m} = \emptyset \text{)} \quad (3)$$

so that $\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \in R_f^*(X)$. Because from the definition of $R_f^*(X)$, the set

$$\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \overline{A_n} \quad (4)$$

is finite, there results the existence of a rank N with the property that $\overline{A_n}$ are empty for $n > N$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_f^{*X}(\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n) &= \mu_f^X(\overline{\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n}) = \mu_f^X(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \overline{A_n}) = \mu_f^X(\overline{A_0} \vee \overline{A_1} \vee \dots \vee \overline{A_N}) = \\ &= \overline{\mu_f^X(\overline{A_0}) \oplus \mu_f^X(\overline{A_1}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu_f^X(\overline{A_N})} = \overline{\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu_f^X(\overline{A_n})} = \overline{\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu_f^X(\overline{A_n})} = \\ &= \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu_f^{*X}(A_n) = \bigotimes_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu_f^{*X}(A_n) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

4. The Behavior of the Measures Relative to the Monotonous Sequences of Sets

4.1 a) The family $A_n \subset X, n \in \mathbf{N}$ is called *ascending sequence* of sets if

$$A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \quad (1)$$

In this case, the reunion $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n$ is called the *limit* of the sequence and is noted

sometimes with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$.

b) The family $A_n \subset X, n \in \mathbf{N}$ is called *descending sequence* of sets if

$$A_0 \supset A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots \quad (2)$$

The intersection $\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n$ is called the *limit* of the sequence and is noted sometimes with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$.

c) If the sequence $A_n \subset X, n \in \mathbf{N}$ is either ascending, or descending, then we say that it is *monotonous*.

4.2 Theorem Let $U \subset 2^X$ a set ring and the function $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$.

a) Let $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$ an arbitrary ascending sequence of sets satisfying the property that the set

$$A = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \quad (1)$$

belongs to U . If μ is a measure, then the binary sequence $(\mu(A_n))_n$ is convergent (see 3.5 a)) and it is true:

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \quad (2)$$

b) Suppose that μ is additive and it satisfies the property: for any ascending sequence $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$ of sets so that its reunion A belongs to U , the binary sequence $(\mu(A_n))_n$ is convergent and the relation (2) takes place. Then μ is a measure.

Proof a) We have the disjoint reunion:

$$A = A_0 \vee (A_1 - A_0) \vee \dots \vee (A_{n+1} - A_n) \vee \dots \quad (3)$$

Because μ is a measure, it results that there exists $N \in \mathbf{N}$ so that

$$n > N \Rightarrow \mu(A_{n+1} - A_n) = 0 \quad (4)$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A) &= \mu(A_0) \oplus \mu(A_1 - A_0) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu(A_{N+1} - A_N) = \\ &= \mu(A_0) \oplus \mu(A_1) \oplus \mu(A_0) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu(A_{N+1}) \oplus \mu(A_N) = \mu(A_{N+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

(4) is equivalent with the convergence of the sequence $(\mu(A_n))_n$, as it can be rewritten under the form:

$$n > N \Rightarrow \mu(A_{n+1}) = \mu(A_n) \quad (6)$$

and (5) is equivalent in this situation with (2). In the last equations, we have used 2.4 a.2) under the form:

$$\mu(A_{n+1} - A_n) = \mu(A_{n+1}) \oplus \mu(A_{n+1} \wedge A_n) = \mu(A_{n+1}) \oplus \mu(A_n), n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (7)$$

b) Let $A'_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$ a sequence of sets that are disjoint two by two and let us suppose that their reunion

$$A = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A'_n \quad (8)$$

belongs to U . We define the sequence $A_n \in U, n \in \mathbf{N}$ by:

$$A_n = A'_0 \vee A'_1 \vee \dots \vee A'_n, n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (9)$$

and it is remarked that it is ascending and (1) is satisfied. The hypothesis states the convergence of the sequence with the general term

$$\mu(A_n) = \mu(A'_0) \oplus \mu(A'_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu(A'_n) \quad (10)$$

in other words there exists an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ for which the implication

$$n > N \Rightarrow \mu(A'_n) = 0 \quad (11)$$

is true. The relation (2) becomes

$$\mu(A) = \mu(A'_0) \oplus \mu(A'_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu(A'_N) = \bigoplus_{n \in N} \mu(A'_n) \quad (12)$$

i.e. μ is a measure.

4.3 **Theorem** It is considered the set ring U and the function $\mu : U \rightarrow B_2$.

a) We suppose that $A_n \in U, n \in N$ is an arbitrary descending sequence of sets whose intersection

$$A = \bigwedge_{n \in N} A_n \quad (1)$$

belongs to U and that μ is a measure. Then the binary sequence $(\mu(A_n))_n$ is convergent and it is true:

$$\mu(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \quad (2)$$

b) Let us suppose that μ is additive and the next property is satisfied: for any descending sequence $A_n \in U, n \in N$ of sets so that its intersection A belongs to U , the binary sequence $(\mu(A_n))_n$ is convergent and the relation (2) is true. In these circumstances μ is a measure.

Proof a) Let us remark for the beginning that the set

$$\bigvee_{n \in N} (A_0 - A_n) = A_0 - \bigwedge_{n \in N} A_n = A_0 - A \quad (3)$$

belongs to U and the sequence of sets

$$A_0 - A_0 \subset A_0 - A_1 \subset A_0 - A_2 \subset \dots \quad (4)$$

is ascending. We apply 4.2 a) resulting that the binary sequence $(\mu(A_0 - A_n))_n$ is convergent and that it takes place

$$\mu(A_0 - A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_0 - A_n) \quad (5)$$

From (5) it results that

$$\mu(A_0) \oplus \mu(A) = \mu(A_0) \oplus \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \quad (6)$$

and we have the validity of (2).

b) Let $A'_n \in U, n \in N$ a sequence of sets that are disjoint two by two with the property that their reunion

$$A' = \bigvee_{n \in N} A'_n \quad (7)$$

belongs to U . We define the sequence of sets from U :

$$A_n = A' - (A'_0 \vee A'_1 \vee \dots \vee A'_n) = (A' - A'_0) \wedge (A' - A'_1) \wedge \dots \wedge (A' - A'_n) \quad (8)$$

where $n \in N$ that proves to be descending and its meet

$$A = \bigwedge_{n \in N} A_n = \bigwedge_{n \in N} \bigwedge_{k=0}^n (A' - A'_k) = A' - \bigvee_{n \in N} \bigvee_{k=0}^n A'_k = A' - A' = \emptyset \quad (9)$$

belongs to U . The hypothesis states that the binary sequence $(\mu(A_n))_n$ is convergent and the relation (2) becomes:

$$0 = \mu\left(\bigwedge_{n \in N} A_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n) \quad (10)$$

There exists a rank $N \in N$ so that for any $n > N$ we have:

$$0 = \mu(A_n) = \mu(A' - (A'_0 \vee A'_1 \vee \dots \vee A'_n)) = \mu(A') \oplus \mu(A'_0) \oplus \mu(A'_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu(A'_n) \quad (11)$$

We have that $(\mu(A'_n))_n$ converges to 0 and if $k > N$ then

$$\mu(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A'_n) = \mu(A') = \bigoplus_{n=0}^k \mu(A'_n) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A'_n) \quad (12)$$

5. Derivable Measures

5.1 In this paragraph we shall consider that the total space X is equal with $\mathbf{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$.

The elements $x \in X$ will be consequently n -tuples $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

5.2 We define the family

$$U_n = \{A \mid A \subset \mathbf{R}^n, A \text{ is bounded}\} \quad (1)$$

It is a set ring (relative to Δ and $\wedge$).

5.3 Let $A \in U_n$ be a bounded set. Its *diameter* is defined to be the real non-negative number

$$d(A) = \sup_{x, y \in A} \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2} \quad (1)$$

5.4 We define the *locally finite* sets from $\mathbf{R}^n$ to be these sets $H \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ with the property that

$$\forall A \in U_n, A \wedge H \text{ is finite}$$

5.5 The set of the locally finite sets from $\mathbf{R}^n$ is noted with $Loc_f^{(n)}$ and it is a set ring.

5.6 **Proposition** Let us take a set $H \in Loc_f^{(n)}$. The function $\mu_H : U_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ defined by:

$$\mu_H(A) = \pi(|A \wedge H|), A \in U_n \quad (1)$$

is a measure (the function π was defined at 3.13 (5)).

Proof Let $A_p \in U_n$, $p \in \mathbf{N}$ a family of sets that are disjoint two by two with the property that $\bigvee_{p \in \mathbf{N}} A_p \in U_n$. Because $\bigvee_{p \in \mathbf{N}} A_p \wedge H$ is a finite set, there exists a number $N \in \mathbf{N}$ with:

$$p > N \Rightarrow A_p \wedge H = \emptyset \quad (2)$$

We infer that

$$\{p \mid \mu_H(A_p) = 1\} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, N\} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_H(\bigvee_{p \in \mathbf{N}} A_p) &= \pi(|\bigvee_{p \in \mathbf{N}} A_p \wedge H|) = \pi(|\bigvee_{p \in \mathbf{N}} (A_p \wedge H)|) = \\ &= \pi(|(A_0 \wedge H) \vee (A_1 \wedge H) \vee \dots \vee (A_N \wedge H)|) = \\ &= \pi(|A_0 \wedge H| + |A_1 \wedge H| + \dots + |A_N \wedge H|) = \\ &= \pi(|A_0 \wedge H|) \oplus \pi(|A_1 \wedge H|) \oplus \dots \oplus \pi(|A_N \wedge H|) = \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{p \in N} \pi(|A_p \wedge H|) = \bigoplus_{p \in N} \mu_H(A_p)$$

5.7 **Proposition** The function $\mu_H \in Ad_c(U_n)$ that was previously defined fulfills the property that for any $A \in U_n$ and $x \in A$:

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0, \exists a \in \mathbf{B}_2, \forall B \in U_n, (x \in B \text{ and } d(B) < \varepsilon) \Rightarrow \mu_H(B) = a \quad (1)$$

Proof We define the real positive number

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} \min \{ \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n - y_n)^2} \mid y \in H - \{x\}, H - \{x\} \neq \emptyset \\ 1, H - \{x\} = \emptyset \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Such an ε exists, if not there would exist a sphere S_x with the center in x and the property that $S_x \wedge H$ is infinite and this is a contradiction with the hypothesis $H \in Loc_f^{(n)}$.

Any bounded set $B \in U_n$ with the properties that $x \in B$ and $d(B) < \varepsilon$ fulfills the relations:

$$(B - \{x\}) \wedge H = \emptyset \quad (3)$$

$$\mu_H(B - \{x\}) = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_H(B) &= \mu_H((B - \{x\}) \vee \{x\}) = \mu_H(B - \{x\}) \oplus \mu_H(\{x\}) = \\ &= \mu_H(\{x\}) = \pi(|\{x\} \wedge H|) = \begin{cases} 1, x \in H \\ 0, x \notin H \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

5.8 Let now $\mu : U_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ be a measure.

a) We say that it is *derivable in* $x \in A$, where $A \in U_n$, if

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0, \exists a \in \mathbf{B}_2, \forall B \in U_n, (x \in B \text{ and } d(B) < \varepsilon) \Rightarrow \mu(B) = a \quad (1)$$

b) In the case that the property of derivability of μ takes place in any $x \in A$, we say that μ is *derivable on* A .

c) If μ is derivable on any set $A \in U_n$, then it is called *derivable*.

5.9 The number $a \in \mathbf{B}_2$ depending on $x \in A$ and the function $A \ni x \mapsto a \in \mathbf{B}_2$ whose existence is stated in 5.8 are called the *derivative of* μ *in* x , respectively the *derivative function of* μ *in* x .

5.10 The derivative of μ in x and the derivative function of μ in x are noted with $d\mu(x)$. Other notations are:

- $\frac{d\mu}{dl}(x)$, if $n = 1$
- $\frac{d\mu}{dS}(x)$, if $n = 2$
- $\frac{d\mu}{dV}(x)$, if $n = 3$

5.11 **Remark** The set $B \in U_n$ formed by one element, $x \in A$

$$B = \{x\} \quad (1)$$

has the property that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$x \in B \text{ and } d(B) = 0 < \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

from where it is inferred that, if μ is derivable in x , then $d\mu(x)$, that generally does not depend on B , is given by:

$$d\mu(x) = \mu(\{x\}) \quad (3)$$

5.12 a) We suppose that μ is a derivable measure on A . The set

$$\text{supp}_A d\mu = \{x \mid x \in A, d\mu(x) = 1\} = \{x \mid x \in A, \mu(\{x\}) = 1\} \quad (1)$$

is called the *support of $d\mu$ on A* .

b) If μ is derivable (on any set $A \in \mathcal{U}_n$), then by definition the set

$$\text{supp } d\mu = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{R}^n, d\mu(x) = 1\} = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \mu(\{x\}) = 1\} \quad (2)$$

is called the *support of $d\mu$ (on $\mathbf{R}^n$)*.

5.13 **Theorem** We consider the derivable measure $\mu : \mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ on the closed set $A \in \mathcal{U}_n$ (A is compact). Then the set $\text{supp}_A d\mu$ is finite.

Proof Let us suppose that $\text{supp}_A d\mu$ is infinite, in contradiction with the conclusion of the theorem. Because A is bounded, there exists (Cesaro) a convergent sequence

$x^p \in \text{supp}_A d\mu$, $p \in \mathbf{N}$ and the fact that A is closed implies that

$$x = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} x^p \quad (1)$$

belongs to A . We apply the hypothesis of derivability of μ in x :

$$\exists \varepsilon > 0, \forall B \in \mathcal{U}_n, (x \in B \text{ and } d(B) < \varepsilon) \Rightarrow \mu(B) = \mu(\{x\}) \quad (2)$$

We fix ε, B like above so that for some $x^p \neq x$ it is true in addition $x^p \in B$. The set $B - \{x^p\}$ satisfies the same hypothesis like B , that is:

$$x \in B - \{x^p\} \text{ and } d(B - \{x^p\}) \leq d(B) < \varepsilon \quad (3)$$

and the conclusion must be the same:

$$\mu(B - \{x^p\}) = \mu(\{x\}) \quad (4)$$

It is inferred that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{x\}) &= \mu(B) = \mu((B - \{x^p\}) \vee \{x^p\}) = \\ &= \mu(B - \{x^p\}) \oplus \mu(\{x^p\}) = \mu(\{x\}) \oplus 1 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The last equation is a contradiction, having its origin in our supposition that $\text{supp}_A d\mu$ is infinite.

5.14 **Corollary** Let the measure $\mu : \mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$.

a) If μ is derivable on the topological closure $\overline{A}$ of the set $A \in \mathcal{U}_n$, then:

a.1) the set $\text{supp}_A d\mu$ is finite

$$a.2) \quad \forall x \in A, \exists \varepsilon > 0, \forall B \in \mathcal{U}_n, (x \in B \text{ and } d(B) < \varepsilon) \Rightarrow \mu(B - \{x\}) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$a.3) \quad \mu(A) = \bigvee_{x \in A} \mu(\{x\}) \quad (2)$$

a.4) For any partition $A_i \subset A, i \in I$, we have

$$\mu(A) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \mu(A_i) \quad (3)$$

b) If the measure μ is derivable, then the set $\text{supp } d\mu$ is locally finite.

Proof a.3) If $\text{supp}_A d\mu$ is empty, then for any $x \in A$ we have that $x \notin \text{supp}_A d\mu$ and by replacing in 5.8 (1) B with A and a with $\mu(\{x\})$, it results

$$\mu(A) = \mu(\{x\}) = 0 \quad (4)$$

making the statement of the theorem obvious.

We suppose now that

$$\text{supp}_A d\mu = \{x^1, \dots, x^p\}, \quad p \geq 1 \quad (5)$$

There exists a partition $A_1, \dots, A_p \in \mathcal{U}_n$ of A with the property that $x^i \in A_i, i = \overline{1, p}$ and moreover

$$\mu(A) = \mu\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^p A_i\right) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^p \mu(A_i) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^p \mu(\{x^i\}) = \bigoplus_{x \in A} \mu(\{x\}) (= \pi(p)) \quad (6)$$

b) μ is derivable on the compacts $\overline{A} \in \mathcal{U}_n$ and from a.1) all the sets

$$A \wedge \text{supp } d\mu = \text{supp}_A d\mu \quad (7)$$

are finite.

5.15 Let us suppose that $\mu : \mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ is derivable on the topological closure $\overline{A}$ of $A \in \mathcal{U}_n$. The binary number

$$\mu(A) = \bigoplus_{x \in A} \mu(\{x\}) = \bigoplus_{x \in A} d\mu(x) = \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} f(x) \cdot d\mu(x) \quad (1)$$

is noted with $\int_A d\mu, \int_A f \cdot d\mu$ or $\int f \cdot d\mu$ and is called the *integral of $f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ relative to μ* , where the relation between f and A is by definition the following:

$$A = \{x \mid x \in \mathbf{R}^n, f(x) = 1\} = \text{supp } f \quad (2)$$

5.16 We note with

$$I_{Loc}^{(n)} = \{f \mid f : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2, \text{supp } f \in \text{Loc}_f^{(n)}\} \quad (1)$$

the $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra of the functions with locally finite support, that are called *locally integrable functions*.

5.17 **Theorem** a) The function $g \in I_{Loc}^{(n)}$ defines a derivable measure $\mu^g : \mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ by the formula:

$$\mu^g(A) = \pi(|A \wedge \text{supp } g|), \quad A \in \mathcal{U}_n \quad (1)$$

It is true the relation

$$d\mu^g(x) = g(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad (2)$$

b) Conversely, if $\mu : \mathcal{U}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ is a derivable measure, then there exists in a unique manner the function $g \in I_{Loc}^{(n)}$ so that

$$\mu(A) = \pi(|A \wedge \text{supp } g|), \quad A \in \mathcal{U}_n \quad (3)$$

being also true the relation

$$d\mu(x) = g(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad (4)$$

Proof a) The fact that μ^g is a measure was already proved at 5.6, if we put

$$\mu^g(A) = \mu_{\text{supp } g}(A), A \in U_n \quad (5)$$

and (2) results from

$$d\mu^g(x) = \mu^g(\{x\}) = \pi(|\{x\} \wedge \text{supp } g|) = \begin{cases} \pi(1) = 1, & \text{if } x \in \text{supp } g \\ \pi(0) = 0, & \text{if } x \notin \text{supp } g \end{cases} = g(x) \quad (6)$$

b) If μ is derivable, then $\text{supp } d\mu \in \text{Loc}_f^{(n)}$ from 5.14 b) and the function

$g : \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ defined by:

$$g(x) = d\mu(x) = \mu(\{x\}), x \in \mathbf{R}^n \quad (7)$$

is locally integrable. As (4) was proved at (7), we prove (3) by taking into account 5.14 a.3):

$$\mu(A) = \sum_{x \in A} \mu(\{x\}) = \pi(|A \wedge \text{supp } d\mu|) = \pi(|A \wedge \text{supp } g|), A \in U_n \quad (8)$$

5.18 **Corollary** For $g \in I_{\text{Loc}}^{(n)}$ and $A \in U_n$ it is defined the integral

$$\int_A g = \int_A d\mu^g = \mu^g(A) = \pi(|A \wedge \text{supp } g|) \quad (1)$$

6. The Lebesgue-Stieltjes Measure

6.1 We say about the function $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ that

a) it has a *left limit* in any point $t \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$, if (see 3.10)

$$\forall t \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}, \exists t' < t, \exists f(t-0) \in \mathbf{B}_2, \forall \xi \in (t', t), f(\xi) = f(t-0) \quad (1)$$

b) it is *left continuous* in any point $t \in \mathbf{R}$, if a) is true in any $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and moreover:

$$\forall t \in \mathbf{R}, f(t) = f(t-0) \quad (2)$$

6.2 We fix a function f satisfying the properties from 6.1. We prolong f to $\mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$ by left continuity in the point ∞ :

$$f(\infty) = f(\infty-0) \quad (1)$$

and we note this new function with f too.

6.3 The relation

$$\mu([a_1, b_1]) \Delta \dots \Delta [a_n, b_n]) = f(a_1) \oplus f(b_1) \oplus \dots \oplus f(a_n) \oplus f(b_n) \quad (1)$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$ obviously defines an additive function $\mu : \text{Sym}^- \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$

(see 3.11 for the definition of the symmetrical intervals and of Sym^-). Our purpose is that of proving the next:

6.4 **Theorem** μ is a measure.

Proof Let $A_n \in \text{Sym}^-, n \in \mathbf{N}$ a sequence of sets that are disjoint two by two with the property that the reunion

$$A = \bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n \quad (1)$$

belongs to Sym^- . We can suppose without loss that all the sets A_n are non-empty.

Case a) $(t_n)_n$ is a real strictly increasing sequence that converges to b and we have:

$$-\infty < a = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < b \leq \infty \quad (2)$$

$$A_n = [t_n, t_{n+1}), n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (3)$$

$$A = [a, b) \quad (4)$$

There exists an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ with

$$n > N \Rightarrow \mu(A_n) = f(t_n) \oplus f(t_{n+1}) = f(b-0) \oplus f(b-0) = 0 \quad (5)$$

and we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A_n) &= \bigoplus_{n=0}^N \mu(A_n) = f(t_0) \oplus f(t_1) \oplus f(t_1) \oplus f(t_2) \oplus \dots \oplus f(t_N) \oplus f(t_{N+1}) \\ &= f(t_0) \oplus f(t_{N+1}) = f(a) \oplus f(b-0) = f(a) \oplus f(b) = \mu(A) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Case b) A is of the general form

$$A = [a_1, b_1) \vee [a_2, b_2) \vee \dots \vee [a_k, b_k) \quad (7)$$

where

$$-\infty < a_1 < b_1 \leq a_2 < b_2 \leq \dots \leq a_k < b_k \leq \infty \quad (8)$$

We note

$$A_{n,i} = A_n \wedge [a_i, b_i) \quad (9)$$

where $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $i = \overline{1, k}$; we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A_n) &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (\mu(A_{n,1} \vee A_{n,2} \vee \dots \vee A_{n,k})) = \\ &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} (\mu(A_{n,1}) \oplus \mu(A_{n,2}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu(A_{n,k})) = \\ &= \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A_{n,1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A_{n,2}) \oplus \dots \oplus \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu(A_{n,k}) = \\ &= \mu(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_{n,1}) \oplus \mu(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_{n,2}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_{n,k}) = \quad (\text{from a))} \\ &= \mu([a_1, b_1)) \oplus \mu([a_2, b_2)) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu([a_k, b_k)) = \\ &= \mu([a_1, b_1) \vee [a_2, b_2) \vee \dots \vee [a_k, b_k)) = \mu(A) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

6.5 The measure μ that was defined at 6.3 is called the *(left) Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure associated to f* .

6.6 The right dual construction is made starting from an $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ function (see 6.1) with a right limit in any $t \in \{-\infty\} \vee \mathbf{R}$, right continuous in any $t \in \mathbf{R}$ that is prolonged (see 6.2) to $\{-\infty\} \vee \mathbf{R}$ by right continuity in the point $-\infty$. It is defined then (see 6.3) a measure

$\text{Sym}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$, where Sym^+ , the dual of Sym^- , is the set ring generated by the symmetrical intervals

$$((a, b]) = \begin{cases} (a, b], & a < b \\ (b, a], & b < a \\ \emptyset, & a = b \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $a, b \in \{-\infty\} \vee \mathbf{R}$.

6.7 **Theorem** Let $\mu_1 : \text{Sym}^- \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ an arbitrary measure.

a) The function

$$g(t) = \mu_1([a, t)) \quad (1)$$

where $a, t \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$ is left continuous on $\mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$.

b) μ_1 is the left Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure associated to g .

Proof a) It is considered the sequence $(t_n)_n$

$$-\infty < a = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t \leq \infty \quad (2)$$

that is strictly increasing and convergent to t . The sets

$$A_n = [t_n, t_{n+1}), n \in \mathbf{N} \quad (3)$$

belong to Sym^- and are disjoint two by two and their reunion

$$\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n = [a, t) \quad (4)$$

is an element from Sym^- too. It results that there exists $N \in \mathbf{N}$ with

$$n > N \Rightarrow \mu_1(A_n) = \mu_1([t_n, t_{n+1})) = \mu_1([a, t_n)) \oplus \mu_1([a, t_{n+1})) = g(t_n) \oplus g(t_{n+1}) = 0 \quad (5)$$

showing the existence of $g(t-0)$. But

$$g(t) = \mu_1([a, t)) = \mu_1\left(\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} [t_n, t_{n+1})\right) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \mu_1([t_n, t_{n+1})) = \quad (6)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{n=0}^N \mu_1([t_n, t_{n+1})) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^N (g(t_n) \oplus g(t_{n+1})) = g(t_0) \oplus g(t_{N+1})$$

From the fact that

$$g(t_0) = \mu_1([a, a)) = \mu_1(\emptyset) = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$g(t_{N+1}) = g(t-0) \quad (8)$$

it results, as t is arbitrary, the statement of the theorem.

b) We have that

$$\mu_1([a_1, b_1)) \Delta \dots \Delta ([a_n, b_n)) = \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mu_1([a, a_1)) \Delta ([a, b_1)) \Delta \dots \Delta ([a, a_n)) \Delta ([a, b_n)) = \\ &= \mu_1([a, a_1)) \oplus \mu_1([a, b_1)) \oplus \dots \oplus \mu_1([a, a_n)) \oplus \mu_1([a, b_n)) = \\ &= g(a_1) \oplus g(b_1) \oplus \dots \oplus g(a_n) \oplus g(b_n) \end{aligned}$$

is true for any $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$.

7. Measurable Spaces and Measurable Functions. The Integration of the Binary Functions Relative to a Measure

7.1 It is called *measurable space* a pair $(X, \mathcal{U})$ where X is a set and $\mathcal{U} \subset 2^X$ is a ring of subsets of X . The sets $A \in \mathcal{U}$ are called *measurable*.

7.2 We say that we have defined a *measurable*, or *integrable function* $f : (X, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ where $(X, \mathcal{U})$ is a measurable space, if it is given the function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ with $\text{supp } f \in \mathcal{U}$, i.e. the support of f is a measurable set.

7.3 Recall that the set of the binary functions

$$\mathcal{U}' = \{f \mid f : (X, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2, f \text{ is measurable}\} \quad (1)$$

(see 1.13, 1.14) is a $\mathbf{B}_2$ -algebra relative to the obvious laws. As ring, it is isomorphic with $\mathcal{U}$.

7.4 Let $(X, \mathcal{U})$ a measurable space and $M \subset X$. Because the set

$$U \wedge M = \{A \wedge M \mid A \in U\} \quad (1)$$

is a set ring, the pair $(M, U \wedge M)$ is a measurable space, called *measurable subspace* of (X, U) .

7.5 **Proposition** a) If $f : (X, U) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ is measurable, then its restriction

$f|_M : (M, U \wedge M) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ is measurable.

b) If $g : (M, U \wedge M) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ is measurable, then it can be prolonged to a measurable function $f : (X, U) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$.

7.6 Let us suppose that (X, U) is a measurable space, $f : (X, U) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ is a measurable function and $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ is a measure. The number $\mu(\text{supp } f)$ is called the *integral of f relative to μ* and is noted with $\int f \cdot d\mu$.

7.7 Let $f_n, f \in U', n \in \mathbf{N}$.

a) If

$$\text{supp } f_0 \subset \text{supp } f_1 \subset \text{supp } f_2 \subset \dots \quad (1)$$

$$\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \text{supp } f_n = \text{supp } f \quad (2)$$

then we say that f_n converges, or tends increasingly to f and this fact is noted with $f_n \uparrow f$.

b) If

$$\text{supp } f_0 \supset \text{supp } f_1 \supset \text{supp } f_2 \supset \dots \quad (1)$$

$$\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \text{supp } f_n = \text{supp } f \quad (2)$$

then we say that f_n converges, or tends decreasingly to f and this fact is noted with $f_n \downarrow f$.

c) In one of the situations from a), b) we say that f_n converges, or tends monotonously to f and the notation is $f_n \updownarrow f$.

7.8 Let us suppose that $f, g : (X, U) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ are measurable and that $\mu : U \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ is a measure. We say that f and g are *equal almost everywhere* and we write this fact with

$$f = g \text{ a.e.} \quad (1)$$

if

$$\mu(\{x \mid f(x) \neq g(x)\}) = \mu(\text{supp } f \Delta \text{supp } g) = 0 \quad (2)$$

or, in an equivalent manner, if

$$\mu(\text{supp } f) = \mu(\text{supp } g) \quad (3)$$

7.9 **Proposition** The function $U' \ni f \mapsto \int f \cdot d\mu \in \mathbf{B}_2$ satisfies the following properties:

a) it is linear

$$b) f_n \updownarrow f \Rightarrow \int f_n \cdot d\mu \rightarrow \int f \cdot d\mu$$

$$c) f = g \text{ a.e.} \Leftrightarrow \int f \cdot d\mu = \int g \cdot d\mu$$

where $f_n, f, g \in U', n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Proof b) is a restatement of 4.2 a) and 4.3 a).

7.10 **Corollary** If $f_n \in U', n \in N$ converges to 0 decreasingly, then

$$\int f_n \cdot d\mu \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

7.11 Let $f_n, f : (X, U) \rightarrow B_2, n \in N$ measurable and $\mu : U \rightarrow B_2$ a measure. We say that f_n tends to f in measure and we note this property with $f_n \xrightarrow{\mu} f$ if

$$\int f_n \cdot d\mu \rightarrow \int f \cdot d\mu \quad (2)$$

7.12 Let $f, \chi_A : X \rightarrow B_2$ two functions, where f is arbitrary and χ_A is the characteristic function of the set $A \subset X$. If $A \wedge \text{supp } f \in U$ - condition that is called of *integrability*- then the number

$$\int_A f \cdot d\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int (\chi_A \cdot f) \cdot d\mu \quad (1)$$

is called the *integral of f , on A , relative to μ* .

7.13 The function $f \cdot \mu : U \rightarrow B_2$ defined by

$$(f \cdot \mu)(A) = \int_A f \cdot d\mu \quad (1)$$

where $A, \text{supp } f \in U$ is a measure, that coincides with the restriction of μ at $\text{supp } f$.

8. Riemann Integrals

8.1 We end the paper with a short paragraph that introduces the Riemann integrals of the $f : R \rightarrow B_2$ functions (generalizations are possible to $f : R^n \rightarrow B_2$ functions). The main feature for this type of integral is considering the set ring $R_f(R)$ and the finite Boolean measure (see 3.8) $\mu_f^R : R_f(R) \rightarrow B_2$.

8.2 For the set $A \subset R$, the property $A \wedge \text{supp } f \in R_f(R)$ (see 7.12) is called the condition of *Riemann integrability of f on A* . If it is fulfilled, we say that f is *Riemann integrable*, or *integrable in the sense of Riemann, on A* .

8.3 **Special cases** for 8.2. a) $[[a, b)) \wedge \text{supp } f \in R_f(R)$ (see 3.12 (1) for the definition of $I_{[[a, b))}$), $a, b \in R \vee \{\infty\}$. These functions are called *left integrable* (in the sense of Riemann) from a to b .

b) $R \wedge \text{supp } f \in R_f(R)$ (see 3.12 (2) for the definition of I_∞). These functions are called *integrable* (in the sense of Riemann).

c) $\forall a, b \in R, (a, b) \wedge \text{supp } f \in R_f(R)$ (see 5.4, 5.5, 5.16 for the definition of $I_{Loc}^{(1)}$). These functions are called *locally integrable* (in the sense of Riemann) and they have a locally finite support.

d) $\forall a, b \in R \vee \{\infty\}, (a, b) \wedge \text{supp } f \in R_f(R)$ defines the B_2 -algebra of functions I_{Sup} . We say about these functions that they are *left integrable* (in the sense of Riemann) and

that they have the support *superiorly finite*, dual notion to that of inferiorly finite set that was defined at 3.9.

8.4 If f is Riemann integrable on A , then the number (see 7.12 (1))

$$\int_A f \cdot d\mu_f^{\mathbf{R}} = \mu_f^{\mathbf{R}}(A \wedge \text{supp } f) = \Xi_{x \in A} f(x) \quad (1)$$

is called the *integral, in the sense of Riemann, of f , on A* .

8.5 **Special cases** for 8.4 a) $f \in I_{[[a,b))}$, $a, b \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$; the integral $\int_{[[a,b))} f \cdot d\mu_f^{\mathbf{R}}$ is noted

with $\int_a^{b-} f$ and is called the *left integral* (in the sense of Riemann) of f from a to b .

b) $f \in I_{\infty}$; the integral $\int_{\mathbf{R}} f \cdot d\mu_f^{\mathbf{R}}$ is usually noted with $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f$ and is called the *integral* (in the sense of Riemann) of f .

8.6 The cases 8.3 a) and 8.5 a) have right duals, that refer to symmetrical intervals of the form $((a, b]]$, $a, b \in \{-\infty\} \vee \mathbf{R}$ (see 6.6).

8.7 We define the subring of sets $\text{Sym}' \subset \text{Sym}^-$ to be the one that is generated by the symmetrical intervals $[[a, b))$, $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ (at Sym^- we had $[[a, b))$, $a, b \in \mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$).

8.8 a) Let us suppose that $f \in I_{Loc}^{(1)}$. Then the measure $f \cdot \mu_f^{\mathbf{R}} : \text{Sym}' \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$ (see 7.13) is called the *indefinite integral* of f .

b) The function $F^- : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_2$, which is defined in the next manner:

$$F^-(t) = f \cdot \mu_f^{\mathbf{R}}([a, t)), t \in \mathbf{R} \quad (1)$$

where $a \in \mathbf{R}$ is a parameter is called the *left primitive* of f .

c) The left primitive $F^-(t)$ has a left limit and it is left continuous in any $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

8.9 If at 8.8 $f \in I_{Sup}$ (where $I_{Sup} \subset I_{Loc}^{(1)}$), then $f \cdot \mu_f^{\mathbf{R}}$ is extended to Sym^- and F^- is extended to $\mathbf{R} \vee \{\infty\}$, by left continuity in the point ∞ . $f \cdot \mu_f^{\mathbf{R}}$ is in this situation the left Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure associated to F^- (see 6.3).

8.10 Together with the duals in the left-right sense that have appeared having their origin in the order of $\mathbf{R}$, the previous notions have also another type of duality, so called in the algebraical sense, resulting by the replacement of 0 with 1 and viceversa, to be compared,

from the table 1.1, the laws ' $\oplus$ ' and ' $\otimes$ '. For example, the algebraical dual of $\int_a^{b-} f$ is

defined like this:

$$\int_a^b \ast_- f = \bigotimes_{x \in [[a,b))} f(x) \quad (1)$$

Bibliography

- [1] Nicu Boboc, Gheorghe Bucur, Masura si capacitate (measure and Capacity, in Romanian), Ed. stiintifica si enciclopedica, Bucuresti, 1985
- [2] Miron Nicolescu, Analiza matematica (Mathematical Analysis, in Romanian), vol 1-3, Ed. Tehnica, Bucuresti, 1957

(Received November 15, 1998)